

<b>Stakeholder</b>	<b>Contact</b>	<b>F M D</b>	<b>Attended Process</b>	<b>ISSUES RAISED DURING PLANNING PROCESS</b>	<b>Is issue within the mandate of planning team or outside and provincial in scope</b>	<b>Mitigation</b>
Domestic harvesters on Bay de Verde	Lindo Pitcher	1	Yes	A group of local people representing a number of communities from the Bay De Verde Peninsula presented a petition with approximately sixty signatures requesting significant changes to domestic cutting areas in the next five year operating plan.	Outside of planning team but handled within the planning process	DNR worked diligently to examine forest management options for domestic cutting in the region. The result was significant changes to existing areas with modifications to current areas. In conjunction with this change it was a requirement from a sustainable forest management perspective to close areas ensuring successful regeneration for future forest harvest. These changes were presented to representatives of the group and they were more than pleased with the proposals we put forth in this five year plan. DNR has agreed to work closely with the individuals on a go forward basis to ensure that domestic harvest requirements are met.
Local Deer Park Service District	Brian Maloney	1	No	Have concern with road degradation as a result of harvest activities and safety on roads during operations.	This issue is ongoing and outside of the planning team process.	DNR has maintained a good working relationship with the local service district
Natural History Society	Allan Stein	1	Yes	Concerns over changes to domestic cutting areas on the Cape Shore. These changes were made to facilitate the ease of domestic management in this area. Allan was concerned that this would have a detrimental affect on the caribou herd in this area as the forested valleys are key habitat.	This issue falls under the mandate of the planning team and was addressed through that process.	Given Mr. Steins concerns DNR committed to removing these changes and have since went back to the old domestic areas from the previous five year plan.
Planning team member	Ian Goudie	1	Yes	Put forth a request for inclusion of the use of Global Forest Watch (GFW) data in delineating “probable old growth forest” as well as deferrals on harvest areas (bad pond and mosquito pond) based on this data.	Issues related to GFW and old growth forest is provincial in scope and not the mandate of the planning team. Deferrals on harvest areas are able to be discussed and DNR explained in great detail there management plans for those areas which unfortunately the planning team member could not support.	This is provincial in scope and as such DNR, through The Centre for Forest Science and Innovation (CFSI) will be working on this at the provincial scale on a go forward basis. See five year plan mitigations section for more detail.
Planning team member	Ian Goudie	1	Yes	Expressed concern over planned reconstruction of lockyers road.	This issue falls under the mandate of the planning team and was addressed through that process.	This proposal was in put forth in case harvest trials in relation to affect on lichen species were

						going to be conducted in partnership with the wildlife division. Given Mr. Goudie's concern with this proposal we have deferred on this road work and have removed in from the current five year plan.
Mount Carmel Town council	Kimberly Mercer - Mayor	1	No	This issue resulted outside the planning team and concern was raised by the council because of misinformation they were provided by a planning team member. It was addressed outside of the planning team process.	This issue resulted outside the planning team and concern was raised by the council because of misinformation they were provided by a planning team member. It was addressed outside of the planning team process.	Consultation was had with the entire town council. DNR presented the plans for the areas of concern and showed pictures of the current condition of the operating areas and our intent to reforest the areas. We also committed to taking council members on an annual field trip to the area to show our progress and we also committed to decommissioning the road in bad pond once all areas are fully reforested.
Whiteway Town council	Craig Whalen-Mayor	1	No	There were no concerns raised during the planning process but there were concerns raised over domestic cutting in watersheds in the winter of 2011.	Outside of planning team	Consultation resulted in the removal of one domestic area that was resulting in domestic harvesters traveling across water supply on ski-doo. Also one area had boundary modifications since the community was looking to develop in that area in the future.
Hearts Delight - Islington Town council	Emily Harnum-Town Manager Melvin Harnum-Town Maintenance	1	No	There were no concerns raised during the planning process but DNR wanted to take an active approach and inform council of the plans for domestic harvest in their town boundaries and watersheds.	Outside of planning team	Consultation resulted in boundary changes to one domestic area so it reflected the boundaries of the water supply. We also ensured that a section known as the lookout was closed from domestic harvesting.
Hearts Content Town council	Don Blundon-Mayor Fred Driscoll-Councilor	1	No	There were no concerns raised during the planning process but DNR wanted to take an active approach and inform council of the plans for domestic harvest in their town boundaries and watersheds.	Outside of planning team	Consultation resulted in no changes to proposed activities. The Council was very much aware of the requirement by citizens for fuelwood and agreed to continue to work closely with DNR over the life of this plan to address any concerns with regards to forest management.
Domestic Harvester	Wallace Budden	1	yes	Concerned with current domestic area. Wanted boundary changes that would benefit the several harvesters in the area.	This issue was raised at a planning team meeting and was mitigated outside the planning team	A portion of proposed block was revised to the point where Mr. Wallace was content. DNR explained the remaining portion would be closed for an additional harvest area in the future.

Planning team member	Ian Goudie	1	yes	Concern over the level of road decommissioning proposed in relation to road construction	Rose in comments on draft of plan.	Road construction is dependant on available funding. This is why more construction was proposed then decommissioning and although the level of road work was intended to result in a balance of road construction and decommissioning Mr. Goudie was not satisfied with this. Given Mr. Goudies concern additional road decommissioning is proposed to ensure a balance.